



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/532,3	84 09/22	795 ARTAVANIS-TSAKONAS	8 /325 030

18M1/0327

EXAMINER EYLER, Y

PENNIE & EDMONDS 1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK NY 10036-2711

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

03/27/97

#7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Applicant(s)

Application No.

08/532,384

Artavanis-Tsakonas

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Yvonne Eyler

Group Art Unit 1806



Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL .		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	•	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the	
Disposition of Claims		
X Claim(s) 90-123	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)		
Claim(s)		
Claim(s)		
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Paviaw PTO-948	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority to		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of ☐ received.	the priority documents have been	
☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	, harl	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial North	**************************************	
*Certified copies not received:	international bureau (I CT Nule 17.2(a)).	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	v under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
	,	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	• o(s).	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8	
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	HE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Art Unit: 1806

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 90, 92, 98-99, 101-106, and 109-119, drawn to a method of manipulating cell differentiation by promoting Notch function, classified in 424, subclass 130.1+.
- II. Claims 90, 91, 93-97, 100, 107, 108, and 120-123 drawn to a method of manipulating cell differentiation by antagonizing Notch function, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions of Groups I and II are entirely different because the compositions of Group I which promote Notch function cannot be used in the methods of Group II to antagonize Notch functions and likewise, those of Group II which antagonize, cannot be used in Group I to promote. Claim 90 is common to both groups but will be examined in light of the group selected.

- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - A) The invention of Group I is further directed to the following species:

Serial Number: 08/532384 Page 3

Art Unit: 1806

a) methods involving the toporythmic protein Delta (98, 103, 106, 109, 110, 113,

115, 118)

b) methods involving the toporythmic protein Serrate (98, 105, 106, 109, 111, 113,

116, 119)

c) methods involving Notch protein, fragments, or analogs (104, 106, 109,

112,113, 114, 117)

B) The invention of Group II is further directed to the following species:

a) methods involving antibodies or inhibitors of Notch (94,-96, 107, 108, 120-

123)

b) methods involving antibodies or inhibitors of Delta (94,95,120-123)

c) methods involving antibodies or inhibitors of Serrate (94,95, 120-123)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Serial Number: 08/532384

Art Unit: 1806

•

Page 4

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims

to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election,

applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37

CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-6564.

Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D. March 18, 1997

I II A FEIGEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 1800